

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/049,188	02/08/2002	Tomoaki Yoshida	Q63028		
23373	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC	CANTELMO	CANTELMO, GREGG		
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1745		
		DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/049,188		YOSHIDA ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
		·	Gregg Cantelmo		1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the cover she	et with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMM 6(a). In no event, however, m ill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	UNICATION nay a reply be time ) MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this on (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 Se	ptember 2006 and 0	9 November	2006.				
2a)	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,17-23 and 26-30</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,17-23,26,27,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requiremen	t.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the atta	ched Office	Action or form PT	ΓO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign <sub>l</sub>	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internatio		, , , , ,						
* S	see the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copies	not received	l.				
Attachmen									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Pate									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/9/06</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/049,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 25, 2006 been entered.

### Response to Amendment

- 2. In response to the amendment received September 25, 2006:
  - a. Claims 1, 17-23 and 26-30 are pending. Claim 28 has been withdrawn from consideration as to a non-elected invention;
  - b. The previous rejection has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's arguments.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed November 9, 2006 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1, 17-23, 26, 27 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amended claims recite a diameter range of 100-300 nm however there is no such support for this particular range. Rather it would appear from the original disclosure that the range should in fact be 10nm-100nm.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 17-23, 26, 27 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amended claims recite a diameter range of 100-300 nm however it would appear from the original disclosure that the range should in fact be 10nm-100nm.

Clarification is respectfully requested.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 17-23, 26, 27 29 and 30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record are considered to teach, suggest or render obvious the fuel cell of

Application/Control Number: 10/049,188

.\_.\_

Art Unit: 1745

claims 1, 17-23, 26, 27 29 and 30. In particular of the gas diffusion electrode comprising both a water repellant resin (claim 1) or hydrophobic resin (claims 17, 21, 22 and 29) and graphitized vapor grown carbon fibers containing boron in an amount of 0.01-10% mass.

Upon further consideration, the Examiner concurs with Applicant's remarks and in particular those remarks directed to the lack of sufficient motivation for modifying the carbon component of EP '683 with the carbon materials of either JP '571 or Nishimura.

Neither the previous prior art rejections of record nor the remaining prior art of record appear to sufficiently teach, suggest or render obvious the claimed invention.

Therefore, pending clarification of the outstanding 112 rejections of record, the claims otherwise would be deemed allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is 571-272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,188

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 5, 2006

Gregg Cantelmo **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1745